

Interoperability & Cooperation (1/2)

- Buffer sharing with dmabuf
 - Good on paper, broken in practice
 - Too little communication between the subsystems
 - Fix for V4L2 cache handling available, breaks other use cases
- Extensive documentation
 - Focus is on kAPI for DRM/KMS, uAPI for V4L2
 - Opportunities for cross-improvements
- Camera / Display pipeline configuration
 - Different formats and constraints, not reported by DRM/KMS, reconciliation is a hard problem
 - Solved in some userspace frameworks (e.g. gstreamer) but still painful in general
 - Difficult to influence the stride with dumb buffers in DRM/KMS
 - Drivers need to report more information, arbitration has to live in userspace



Cameras & Displays Workshop Report

Interoperability & Cooperation (2/2)

- Enumeration & Configuration
 - DRM/KMS reports static information, and accepts or rejects a configuration – simple and easy but limited
 - V4L2 enumerates capabilities dynamically, and negotiates configurations – powerful but complex and hard
 - DRM/KMS could benefit from a more dynamic and negotiated approach, but care must be taken to not let drivers get it wrong
 - Failure hints reported by ATOMIC_TEST ?
 - Needs to be very carefully considered and solved for a camera API, regardless of where in the kernel it lives
 - A common mechanism covering graphics and cameras is desired even if the implementations are separate



A new camera API

- A camera atomic API is needed
- It took 5 years for display, we need it tomorrow for cameras
 - V4L2 has a tendency to be developed in bursts as long as a big corporate interest exists, and then die out
- How ?
 - Hard to implement on top of V4L2 (too many syscalls, legacy code hinders refactoring, ...)
 - Can DRM/KMS come to the rescue ? Enables code sharing (bridges, writeback, ...)
 - Something new, a.k.a. NIH syndrome ?

